IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JOLENE TOLLEFSEN,

Case No. 3:23-cv-1289-SB

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

HOBBY LOBBY STORES, INC., and ALEXA ENGEL,

Defendants.

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge Stacie Beckerman issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on December 28, 2023. Judge Beckerman recommended that this Court grant Tollefsen's motion to remand this case to Washington County Circuit Court. Judge Beckerman also recommended, in light of finding that the defendants had an objectively reasonable basis for removal of this case, that this Court deny Tollefsen's request for an award of attorney's fees. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act (Act), the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party objects to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

PAGE 1 – ORDER

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v.

Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act],

intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report to which no objections are

filed."); United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding

that the court must review de novo magistrate judge's findings and recommendations if objection

is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 72(b) of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review

the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the

face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Judge

Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation, ECF 12. The Court GRANTS IN PART

Tollefsen's motion (ECF 6) and REMANDS this case to state court. The Clerk of the Court is

directed to return this case to Washington County Circuit Court. The Court DENIES Tollefsen's

request for an award of attorney's fees.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 23rd day of January, 2024.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – ORDER